UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,738	12/09/2004	Ian R Nandhra	FINDP001	5925
22434 DEVED WEAT	7590 10/31/2007		EXAM	INER
BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			· WONG, LESLIE	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examptions of timer may be available under the provisions of 37 CRT 1.38(a), in no event, however, they a reply be firrely filled  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failuble to require when the set of certified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period the set of the communication of the com			<b>X</b>					
## Defice Action Summary  ## Lestile Wong  ## Lestile Wong  ## Art Unit  ## Lestile Wong  ## Art Unit  ## Lestile Wong  ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ## Exhibition of time may be availate under the provisions of 3°C CFR 1.138(a), in no ever, however, may a reply be timely filed  ## Browner of time may be availated under the provisions of 3°C CFR 1.138(a), in no ever, however, may a reply be timely filed  ## IN Depend of the raply is specified above, the maximum attaining period as large and well expire SVI, MONTH's from the making date of this communication.  ## Fabric to reply within the soft or etunized period for reply will, by statution period as large and well expire SVI, MONTH's from the making date of this communication.  ## Part of the provision of the provision of the provision of the provision of the communication of the communication.  ## Part of the provision of the provis	₹	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Leslie Wong		10/517,738	NANDHRA, IAN R					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of them may be available under the provides of 37 CPR 1.18(a), in a overth, however, may a reply to threly find  If NO provide for reply is sacrified above, the maximum statution; period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication of the provides of the provided period for reply is sacrified above, the maximum statution; period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication of the provided period for reply is sacrified above, the maximum statution; period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication of the provided period for reply is sacrified above, the maximum statution; period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Provided SIX (8) MONTHS from the making date of this communication of the provided period for reply is particularly will be some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for reply and some provided period for provided period for some provided period for reply will be stated and some provided period for provided period p	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of forme may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(s). In one event, however, may a resky be firmly filled.  Extraction of former may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.138(s). In one event, however, may a resky be firmly filled.  Extraction of company as produced above, the maximum studency period wat gapple and ville page for X(s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set of extended pancies for reply will, by studen, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. £ \$ 133). Any reply received by the Ciffic with their their interval set for the communication, even if smely fleet, may reduce any control of the communication of the communication. Set 27 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 August 2007.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is FINAL.  2c) □ This action is FINAL.  2c) □ This action is FINAL.  2d) □ This action is final this action is required in the action of form action.  2d) □ This action is action is action for restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  2d) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  2d) □ This action is action of the action for action is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.35(a).  2d) □ This action is action from the Interval produced in the action for form pTC-152.  Priority unde								
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.1356.). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Fixed provisions of time may be available under the provision of the communication of the communication of the provision of the communication o	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2007.  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4	Status	•						
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are rejected. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1   Notice of Parlsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-948) 5   Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	August 2007.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Application of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 1209/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □  3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-882)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-882)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a) All b) Some * c) None of:   1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.   3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1 Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3   Notice of Informal Patent Application								
7	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/09/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/09/2004</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application		gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· —	nts have been received						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application			Application No.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	• •		ot received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)		•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
o) Intermetal Discussion Statement (5) (1. 1. 5/55/55)								
		, parang						

Art Unit: 2164

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Receipt of Applicant's Amendment, filed 22 August 2007, is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Livowsky; Jean-Michel (US 6598039 B1) in view of Crandall et al. ("Crandall")(US. Patent 6,321,228 B1).

Regarding claim 1, **Livowsky** teaches a computer network having a plurality of interconnected computer resources, the computer network having associated with it a data repository that includes a plurality of data items in electronic format distributed widely among the interconnected computer resources, a method of locating portions of the electronic data in the data repository based on a search query (col. 2, lines 44-51), comprising:

- a). processing the search query to determine at least one meaning associated with the search query (col. 2, lines 33-43; col. 4, lines 44-48); and
  - b). locating the portions of the electronic data based on the determined

Art Unit: 2164

meaning and in accordance with a context ascribed to the determined meaning with reference to meanings associated with previous data, located in response to previous search queries (col. 3, lines 54-57; col. 7, lines 62-64; col. 9, lines 11-15); and

c). conveying a result of the located data portions to a user (col. 3, lines 57-58).

**Livowsky** does not explicitly teach b). "meanings associated with previous result data".

**Crandall,** however, meanings associated with previous <u>result</u> data (col. 6, lines 44-48).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because **Crandall's** teaching would have allowed **Livowsky's** to reduce the number of searches conducted by the users by sharing the results which contain web sites that are related to a specific topic as the search query by enabling the users to access selected records from results set that are derived from earlier search queries on the same topic.

Regarding claims 2, 3, and 8, **Livowsky** does not explicitly teach the steps of:

- a). previous result data is organized in a particular manner to ascribe the context to the determined meaning; and
  - b). the locating step includes, based on the particular manner of organization,

Art Unit: 2164

comparing the determined meaning to the meanings associated with previous result data.

Crandall, however, teaches the steps of:

- a). previous result data is organized in a particular manner to ascribe the context to the determined meaning (col. 6, lines 44-48); and
- b). the locating step includes, based on the particular manner of organization, comparing the determined meaning to the meanings associated with previous result data (col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because Crandall's teaching would have allowed Livowsky's to reduce the number of searches conducted by the users by sharing the results which contain web sites that are related to a specific topic as the search query by enabling the users to access selected records from results set that are derived from earlier search queries on the same topic.

Regarding claims 4, 5, and 10, **Livowsky** does not explicitly teach maintaining a store of the meanings associated with the previous result data, organized in the particular manner.

**Crandall**, however, teaches maintaining a store of the meanings associated with the previous result data, organized in the particular manner (col. 6, lines 44-63 and col. 7, lines 16-18).

Regarding claim 6, **Livowsky** does not explicitly teach wherein the order of comparing is based at least in part on a relative frequency with which the previous result data has been accessed.

**Crandall**, however, teaches wherein the order of comparing is based at least in part on a relative frequency with which the previous result data has been accessed (col. 6, lines 64-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because **Crandall's** teaching would have allowed **Livowsky's** to track and rank the activities performed on the associated web site by increasing a score associated with a bookmarked web page.

Regarding claim 7, **Livowsky** further teaches the steps of:

- a). search query is by a particular user (col. 2, lines 26-28);
- b). the previous search queries include search queries by users other than the particular user (col. 6, lines 5-8).

Regarding claim 9, **Livowsky** does not explicitly teach the steps of:

- a). the method further includes maintaining a pointer store that includes at least one entry pointing to a store of previous result data; and
- b). the locating step includes initially locating the store of previous result data based on the pointer store.

Art Unit: 2164

Crandall, however, teaches the steps of:

a). the method further includes maintaining a pointer store that includes at least one entry pointing to a store of previous result data (col. 8, lines 13-27); and

b). the locating step includes initially locating the store of previous result data based on the pointer store (col. 8, lines 13-27).

Regarding claim 11, **Livowsky** does not explicitly teach the steps of:

the maintaining step includes, when a particular previous result data is located based on the search query, organizing the previous result data to influence the prominence with which the located particular previous result data affects the ascription of context.

**Crandall,** however, teaches the maintaining step includes, when a particular previous result data is located based on the search query, organizing the previous result data to influence the prominence with which the located particular previous result data affects the ascription of context (col. 8, lines 13-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because **Crandall's** teaching would have allowed **Livowsky's** to track and rank the activities performed on the associated web site by increasing a score associated with a bookmarked web page.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Livowsky does not explicitly teach the steps of:

Art Unit: 2164

the previous result data are co-accessible by a plurality of users presenting search queries; and in the maintaining step, the organizing step is executed based on the particular previous result data located based on the search queries presented by the plurality of users

**Crandall**, however, teaches the steps of:

the previous result data are co-accessible by a plurality of users presenting search queries; and in the maintaining step, the organizing step is executed based on the particular previous result data located based on the search queries presented by the plurality of users (col. 6, lines 44-63; col. 8, lines 13-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because **Crandall's** teaching would have allowed **Livowsky's** to share the results which contain web sites that are related to a specific topic as the search query by enabling the users to access selected records from results set that are derived from earlier search queries on the same topic.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,738 Page 8

Art Unit: 2164

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES RONES can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 2164

LW October 26, 2007